arXiv:1611.03555v1 [math.RA] 11 Nov 2016

Centralizers in Free Group Algebras and Nonsingular Curves

Nikita Miasnikov

Abstract. The centralizer of any non-scalar element of a free group algebra over a field is the coordinate ring of a nonsingular curve.

1. Introduction

Let k be a field with algebraic closure $\overline{k}$. G. Bergman showed in [**1**] (see also [**3**] for an exposition) that the centralizer C of a non-scalar element $\mathbf{u}$ in a free associative algebra over k is isomorphic to $k[t]$, the ring of polynomials in one variable over k. His proof can be broken into two steps.

First he showed that, to paraphrase the original formulation, C is *the affine coordinate ring of the complement of a k-point in a proper nonsingular curve over k.* Such rings are discussed in Section 2.4.

The second step went as follows. First Bergman proved that *Every finitely generated subalgebra $R \neq k$ of a free k-algebra admits a homomorphism $f : R \to k[t]$ which is non-trivial in the sense $f(R) \neq k$.* Since from the first step one knows that C is a 1-dimensional integral domain, it follows that any non-trivial homomorphism $C \to k[t]$ must be an embedding. To complete the argument, Bergman evoked the following result which uses Luroth's Theorem: *Any integrally closed subalgebra of $k[t]$, other than k, is of the form $k[y]$.*

Bergman's result can be viewed as a special case of a Non-Commutative Luroth Problem: *What commutative fields occur inside the field of non-commutative rational functions?* The commutative fields he found were all isomorphic to $k(t)$.

Viewed from this point, Bergman's result was only an opening salvo, because he only looked at non-commutative *polynomials*. Perhaps the simplest ring of non-commutative *rational functions* beyond the ring of non-commutative polynomials is the free group algebra, whose elements can be thought of as non-commutative Laurent polynomials in several variables.

Let F be a free group and $k[F]$ its group algebra. In this paper, we transplant the first step of Bergman's proof into the setting of the free group algebra $k[F]$. Our main results are Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 5.2. These, combined with Proposition 2.3 give the following description of the centralizer C of a non-scalar element $\mathbf{u} \in k[F]$.

Either C is isomorphic to the ring of Laurent polynomials $k[t, t^{-1}]$ (Proposition 2.3), or else C is the affine coordinate ring of the complement of a k-point in

a proper nonsingular curve over k. (Theorem 5.2). Moreover, in the special case when $\mathbf{u}$ *is* homogeneous*, we can say more: $C \cong k[t]$, i.e., in this case the curve is in fact a line (Proposition 4.3).*

2. Background

2.1. Free group algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let F be a free group on a finite alphabet A and $\mathcal{A}$ its group algebra over k. For $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}$, Supp($\mathbf{u}$) will denote the *support* of $\mathbf{u}$, i.e. the set of elements of F that appear with non-zero coefficients in $\mathbf{u}$. By a *monomial* we mean an element of $\mathcal{A}$ whose support consists of one element. For $u \in F$, we denote by $\overline{u}$ the freely reduced representation of u in the alphabet A. For $u, z \in F$, we say that u is a prefix (suffix) of z whenever the *word* $\overline{u}$ is a prefix (suffix) of the *word* $\overline{z}$. By $w \leqslant z$ ($w < z$) we mean that w is a (resp: proper) prefix of z.

Let $X = \{x_a | a \in A\}$ be distinct letters indexed by the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. A very useful fact about $\mathcal{A}$, which we use, is that it can be embedded into the algebra of non-commutative formal power series $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ in the variables x_a ($a \in \mathcal{A}$). This embedding, called *Magnus embedding*, is effected by sending each letter $a \in A$ to $1 - x_a$. Note that this embedding induces an isomorphism onto $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ of the completion of $\mathcal{A}$ with respect to the augmentation ideal.

A free group can be ordered, for example, by pulling back an ordering along the embedding $\mathbb{Z}[F] \to \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral domain in which the only units are the monomials.

Moreover, $\mathcal{A}$ is a *free ideal ring* or *fir* ([**2**], Corollary 7.11.8, page 507). A fir is a ring in which every one-sided ideal, both left and right, is a free module of a unique (i.e. well-defined) rank (see [**2**], Ch 2 for exposition of firs).

2.2. Degree function on $\mathcal{A}$. A group homomorphism $h : F \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ defines a grading on $\mathcal{A}$ with indices in $\mathbb{R}$. Thus $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{a \in \mathbb{R}} \mathcal{A}_a$, where $\mathcal{A}_a =$ span $h^{-1}(a)$. Note that multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$ is compatible with grading: $(\mathcal{A}_a)(\mathcal{A}_b) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{a+b}$. The k-vector spaces $\mathcal{A}_a$ are called the homogeneous components of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathbf{u} \in (\mathcal{A})_a$ is non-zero, we will say that $\mathbf{u}$ is homogeneous of h-degree (or just degree) a and write $h(\mathbf{u}) = a$. Note that since $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral domain, if $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ are homogeneous, so is $\mathbf{uv}$ and $h(\mathbf{uv}) = h(\mathbf{u}) + h(\mathbf{v})$.

2.3. Basic properties of centralizers. In $\mathcal{A}$, *the centralizer C of an element $\mathbf{u} \notin k$ is a commutative and integrally closed domain.*

Commutativity follows from the embedding of $\mathcal{A}$ into the non-commutative power series ring $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, since in $k\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, the centralizer of any element, which is not contained in k, is isomorphic to the ring of formal power series $k[\![t]\!]$ (See [**2**], Corollary 6.7.2, p. 375).

The assertion that $\mathcal{A}$ is integrally closed follows from the result below (see [**1**], Corollary 4.4) which relies on the notion of a 2-fir. For any cardinal n, a *right n-fir* as a ring in which all right ideals generated by n or fewer elements are free, and all free modules of rank $\leqslant n$ have unique rank. For finite n, the notions of a left n-fir and a right n-fir are equivalent (([**2**], Ch 2). Thus any fir is a 2-fir.

Proposition 2.1 (G. Bergman). *Let R be a k-algebra which is an integral domain, such that $k(t) \otimes R$ is a 2-fir and such that R remains an integral domain under tensoring with all finite algebraic extension of k. Let C be a commutative*

subring of R and $\widetilde{C}$ the integral closure of C in its field of fractions. Then the inclusion of C in R extends uniquely to an embedding of $\widetilde{C}$ in R.

The following lemma allows one to assume that a free group is finitely generated when dealing with centralizers.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let G be a free factor of F, i.e. that $F = G * H$, a free product of the free groups G and H. Let $\mathbf{u} \in k[G]$, $\mathbf{u} \notin k$. Then the centralizer of $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is contained in $k[G]$.*

PROOF. More is true. Namely, a similar statement for formal power series: A power series that involves a variable z cannot commute with a non-constant one that doesn't. This can be proved by elementary means. □

The centralizer *group* Z of an element $g \in F$, $g \neq 1$ is cyclic. Indeed, Z is a commutative subgroup of F, as can be seen, for example, using Magnus embedding; and it is also free, since it is well known that any subgroup of a free group is free. That makes Z cyclic, necessarily the maximal cyclic subgroup of F which contains g.

From this one can derive the following fact.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let $G < F$ be a cyclic subgroup and let $\mathbf{u} \in k[G]$. Then the centralizer of $\mathbf{u}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is of the form $k[Z]$ where Z is the maximal one among cyclic subgroups of F such that $G < Z$.*

2.4. Curves with one hole. Parts of the following characterization are in Proposition 2.1 and 2.2 in [**1**].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let C be a k-algebra which is a commutative integral domain. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *There is a discrete valuation v defined on the field of fractions of C such that: $v_{|k} = 0$, for any $x \in C\backslash\{0\}$, $v(x) \leqslant 0$, and for any $x, y \in C\backslash\{0\}$ with $v(x) = v(y)$, there is $\lambda \in k$ such that $v(x - \lambda y) > v(x)$.*
2. *$C \neq k$ and for any $u \in C\backslash k$ the following holds: u is transcendental over k, C is a finite $k[u]$-module and the valuation of $k[u]$ at ∞ is totally ramified in C.*
3. *There is $u \in C\backslash k$ for which the following holds: u is transcendental over k, C is a finite $k[u]$-module and the valuation of $k[u]$ at ∞ is totally ramified in C.*
4. *There is a proper reduced and irreducible curve X over k and a regular point $p \in X$ defined over k (which implies that X is geometrically reduced and irreducible and smooth at p) such that $C \cong \mathcal{O}_X(X\backslash\{p\})$.*

PROOF. □

3. A linear algebra lemma

LEMMA. *Let U, V be vector spaces over k and $\mu : V \times U \to Z$ a bilinear map without zero divisors over $\overline{k}$, i.e. if we denote $\mu' = \overline{k} \otimes \mu$, then whenever $\mu'(x, y) = 0$ with $x \in \overline{k} \otimes U$ and $y \in \overline{k} \otimes V$ it follows that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. Consider the following bilinear product induced by μ:*

$$* : (U \otimes V) \times (U \otimes V) \to U \otimes Z \otimes V,$$
$$(x \otimes y) * (z \otimes u) = x \otimes \mu(y, z) \otimes u.$$

If $t * s = s * t$ for $t, s \in U \otimes V$, then t, s are linearly dependent.

PROOF. Since linear dependence of t, s over $\overline{k}$ is equivalent to their dependence over k, we can assume WLOG that $k = \overline{k}$. Assume also WLOG that the vector spaces U and V are finite-dimensional. Then, denoting by $U^\vee = Hom_k(U, k)$, the dual space of U, we have a canonical isomorphism of vectors spaces:

$$U \otimes V \xrightarrow{\cong} Hom_k(U^\vee, V)$$
$$u \otimes v \to (f \mapsto f(u)v).$$

Let $t, s \in U \otimes V$ and $\tau, \sigma : U^\vee \to V$ be the linear maps corresponding to t, s resp. under this canonical isomorphism. Furthermore, by $\tau^\vee$, $\sigma^\vee$ we will denote the maps $V^\vee \to U$ which are dual to τ, σ provided we identify $U^{\vee\vee}$ with U on the grounds that $\dim U$ is finite. Furthermore, we have the canonical isomorphisms

$$U \otimes Z \otimes V \cong U \otimes V \otimes Z \cong Hom_k((U \otimes V)^\vee, Z) \cong Hom_k(U^\vee \otimes V^\vee, Z).$$

Via this chain of isomorphisms to each $s * t \in U \otimes Z \otimes V$ there corresponds the bilinear map

$$U^\vee \times V^\vee \to Z$$
$$(x, y) \mapsto \mu(\sigma(x), \tau^\vee(y)).$$

Suppose $t * s = s * t$. That means

$$\mu(\tau(x), \sigma^\vee(y)) = \mu(\sigma(x), \tau^\vee(y))$$

for any $x \in U^\vee$ and $y \in V^\vee$. Suppose that at least one of the following eigenvalue problems has a solution:

$$\sigma(x) = \lambda\tau(x), \qquad \tau(x) \neq 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\tau(x) = \lambda\sigma(x), \qquad \sigma(x) \neq 0 \tag{2}$$

$$\sigma^\vee(y) = \lambda\tau^\vee(y), \qquad \tau^\vee(y) \neq 0 \tag{3}$$

$$\tau^\vee(y) = \lambda\sigma^\vee(y), \qquad \sigma^\vee(y) \neq 0. \tag{4}$$

Suppose for instance, (1) that has a solution (λ, x). Then for any y,

$$\mu(\tau(x), \sigma^\vee(y)) = \mu(\sigma(x), \tau^\vee(y)) = \mu(\lambda\tau(x), \tau^\vee(y)) = \mu(\tau(x), \lambda\tau^\vee(y))$$
$$\mu(\tau(x), \sigma^\vee(y) - \lambda\tau^\vee(y)) = \mu(\tau(x), (\sigma - \lambda\tau)^\vee(y)) = 0.$$

Since μ has no zero divisors, it follows that $(\sigma - \lambda\tau)^\vee(y) = 0$ for all y, and hence

$$(\sigma - \lambda\tau)^\vee = 0 \text{ and } \sigma = \lambda\tau.$$

Similarly if any of the remaining eigenvalue problems (2)-(4) has a solution, it will follow that σ, τ are linearly dependent.

Now let us show that at least one of (1) - (4) does have a solution.

Suppose first that $\ker\sigma = \ker\tau$ and $\ker\sigma^\vee = \ker\tau^\vee$. The latter is equivalent to $\mathrm{Im}\ \sigma = \mathrm{Im}\ \tau$. Then take L to be any direct summand of $\ker\sigma = \ker\tau$ in $U^\vee$ and we get isomorphisms

$$\sigma_{|_L}, \tau_{|_L} : L \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Im}\ \sigma = \mathrm{Im}\ \tau.$$

The problem of finding $x \in L, x \neq 0$ such that $\sigma(x) = \lambda\tau(x)$ is essentially an eigenvalue problem of the usual type and thus has a solution (with $\lambda \neq 0$).

The assumption of the previous paragraph can fail in 4 ways: $\ker\sigma \nsubseteq \ker\tau$, $\ker\tau \nsubseteq \ker\sigma$, $\ker\sigma^\vee \nsubseteq \ker\tau^\vee$, and $\ker\tau^\vee \nsubseteq \ker\sigma^\vee$. In the first of these cases, (1)

has a solution with $\lambda = 0$. Similarly, the other 3 cases lead to solutions to problems (2)-(4) with $\lambda = 0$. □

4. Centralizer of a homogeneous element

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Suppose* $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathcal{A}$ *are homogeneous of the same non-zero degree with respect to a homomorphism* $h : F \to \mathbb{R}$. *If* $\mathbf{uv} = \mathbf{vu}$, *then* $\mathbf{u} = \lambda \mathbf{v}$ *for a scalar* λ.

PROOF. Let $0 < \epsilon \leqslant 1/2 - \max\{|h(a)| \quad | \quad a \in A\}$. In order to control cancelation, we will be interested in the following property of a homogeneous elements $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}$. This property involves bounds on h-degrees of prefixes of elements in $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w})$:

$$(\star) \qquad h(\mathbf{w}) > 0, \qquad -\epsilon h(\mathbf{w}) < h(p) < (1+\epsilon)h(\mathbf{w}) \qquad \forall w \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w}) \quad \forall p \leqslant w.$$

I claim that for any homogeneous $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{A}$ with $h(\mathbf{w}) > 0$, $\mathbf{w}^n$ satisfies $(\star)$ when $n >> 0$. Indeed, denote $\ell = h(\mathbf{w})$ and let $r > 0$ be s.t.

$$-r < h(p) < \ell + r \quad \forall w \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w}) \ \ \forall p \leqslant w.$$

Then for any $w \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w})^n = \{w_1 \cdot \dots \cdot w_n | w_i \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w}) \ \ \forall i\} \supseteq \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{w}^n)$ and any p with $p \leqslant w$,

$$-\frac{r}{n\ell} h(\mathbf{w}^n) = -r < h(p) < n\ell + r = \left(1 + \frac{r}{n\ell}\right) h(\mathbf{w}^n).$$

Thus for $n > r/(\ell\epsilon)$, $\mathbf{w}^n$ satisfies $(\star)$.

Let us suppose WLOG that $h(\mathbf{u}) = h(\mathbf{v}) > 0$, for otherwise we can replace h with $-h$. Denote $S = \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{u}) \bigcup \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{v})$.

Take n high enough that $\mathbf{u}^n$, $\mathbf{v}^n$ satisfy $(\star)$. Since $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ commute, $\mathbf{u}^n$, $\mathbf{v}^n$ commute as well. If we show that $\mathbf{u}^n = c\mathbf{v}^n$ for a scalar c we will conclude that $\mathbf{u} = c^{1/n}\mathbf{v}$. But since $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ have coefficients in k, it will follow that $c^{1/n} \in k$. So after replacing $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ by their high enough powers, we can assume that $\mathbf{u}$, $\mathbf{v}$ already satisfy $(\star)$ and for simplicity let us rescale h so that $h(\mathbf{u}) = h(\mathbf{v}) = 1$.

Let

$$\mathcal{O} = \{w \in F | h(w) \geqslant \epsilon, h(p) < \epsilon \quad \forall p < w\}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{O}} = \{w \in F | h(w) \geqslant \epsilon, h(s) < \epsilon \quad \forall \text{ proper suffix } s \text{ of } w\}.$$

These sets enjoy the properties that no element in $\mathcal{O}$ is a prefix of another element in $\mathcal{O}$, and no element of $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is a suffix of another element in $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$. Also $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}$ is the reversal of $\mathcal{O}$ but we will not rely on that fact. Every $w \in F$ with $h(w) \geqslant 1$ (and thus every $w \in S$) has a unique freely reduced factorization in F:

$$w = w^{(1)}w^{(2)}w^{(3)} \text{ where } w^{(1)} \in \mathcal{O}, w^{(3)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}} \text{ and } w^{(2)} \neq 1.$$

For $u, v \in F$ with $h(u) = h(v) = 1$, $z = uv$ has a unique freely reduced factorization in F:

$$z = z^{(1)}z^{(2)}z^{(3)}z^{(4)}z^{(5)}$$

subject to the conditions

$$z^{(1)} \in \mathcal{O}, z^{(5)} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{O}},$$

$$h(z^{(1)}z^{(2)}) \leqslant 1 - \epsilon,$$

$$1 - \epsilon < h(z^{(1)}z^{(2)}z') < 1 + \epsilon \text{ whenever } 1 < z' < z^{(3)},$$

$$h(z^{(1)}z^{(2)}z^{(3)}) \geqslant 1 + \epsilon.$$

Moreover,

$$z^{(1)} = u^{(1)}, z^{(2)} = u^{(2)}, z^{(3)} = u^{(3)}v^{(1)}, z^{(4)} = v^{(2)}, z^{(5)} = v^{(3)}.$$

For $a \in S^{(2)} = \{w^{(2)} | w \in S\}$, let $S_a = \{w \in S \ | w^{(2)} = a\}$, obtaining a partition of S. We can then decompose uniquely

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_a \mathbf{u}_a \text{ where } \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{u}_a) \subseteq S_a, \qquad \mathbf{v} = \sum_b \mathbf{v}_b \text{ where } \mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{v}_b) \subseteq S_b,$$

$$\mathbf{uv} = \sum_{a,b} \mathbf{u}_a \mathbf{v}_b, \quad \mathbf{vu} = \sum_{a,b} \mathbf{v}_a \mathbf{u}_b.$$

For every w in $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{u}_a\mathbf{v}_b)$ or in $\mathrm{Supp}(\mathbf{v}_a\mathbf{u}_b)$,

$$w^{(2)} = a, \quad w^{(4)} = b$$

hence

$$\mathbf{u}_a\mathbf{v}_b = \mathbf{v}_a\mathbf{u}_b,$$

because both sides are the sums of all those terms $c_w w$ of $\mathbf{uv} = \mathbf{vu}$ for which $w^{(2)} = a, w^{(4)} = b$. Thus $\mathbf{u}_a$ commutes with $\mathbf{v}_a$ and, since $\mathcal{A}$ is an integral domain, one is zero iff the other is.

Let U be the k-span of $S_a^{(1)}$ and V the k-span of $S_a^{(3)}$. Notice that $\mathbf{u}_a, \mathbf{v}_a \in UaV$.

For any $w \in S_a^{(1)} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ and $z \in S_a^{(3)} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, waz is freely reduced. By the properties of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$ mentioned above,

$$S_a^{(1)} \times S_a^{(3)} \to F, \quad (w, z) \to waz$$

is injective. This results in

$$U \otimes V \xrightarrow{\cong} UaV.$$

Define

$$\mu : V \otimes U \to VU = Z$$

to be multiplication in $\mathcal{A}$. To apply the lemma, we need to show

$$U \otimes (VU) \otimes V \xrightarrow{\cong} UaVUaV$$
$$u \otimes z \otimes v \mapsto uazav.$$

It suffices to show that

$$S_a^{(1)} \times S_a^{(3)} S_a^{(1)} \times S_a^{(3)} \to \mathcal{A}$$
$$(u, gf, v) \mapsto uagfav$$

is injective. We have $h(f), h(g) \geqslant \epsilon$ by definition of $\mathcal{O}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{O}}$, and for any prefix p of f and any suffix s of g, $-\epsilon < h(p), h(s)$ by $(\star)$. Hence neither g nor f cancel completely in $\overline{gf}$ and

$$ua\overline{gf}av$$

is freely reduced and determines uniquely u, v and gf.

Since $\overline{k}F$ is an integral domain, we can apply the lemma taking $\mathbf{u}_a$ and $\mathbf{v}_a$ as t and s, which gives $\mathbf{v}_a = \lambda_a \mathbf{u}_a$ for any a. It remains to show that λ_a is independent of a. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{u}_a\mathbf{v}_b = \mathbf{v}_a\mathbf{u}_b,$$
$$\lambda_b \mathbf{u}_a\mathbf{u}_b = \lambda_a \mathbf{u}_a\mathbf{u}_b$$

and hence $\lambda_a = \lambda_b$ for all a, b.

□

Corollary 4.2. *Suppose* $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \notin k$ *and* $\mathbf{uv} = \mathbf{vu}$.

(1) *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is homogeneous of* h*-degree* 0*, then* $\mathbf{v}$ *is also homogeneous of* h*-degree* 0*.*
(2) *If* $\mathbf{u}$,$\mathbf{v}$ *are arbitrary, not necessarily homogeneous, the images of* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathbf{u}$ *and* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathbf{v}$ *in* $\mathbb{Q}\otimes F^{ab}$ *span the same* $\mathbb{Q}$*-vector space.*
(3) *If* $\mathbf{u}$*,* $\mathbf{v}$ *are homogeneous, then* $\mathbb{Q}h(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbb{Q}h(\mathbf{v})$*.*
(4) *If* $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}$ *are homogeneous,* $h(\mathbf{u}) > 0$*,* $h(\mathbf{v}) < 0$*, then* $\mathbf{u}$ *and* $\mathbf{v}$ *are monomials.*

Proof. 1. Suppose the contrary. Then either the lowest- or highest-degree homogeneous component of $\mathbf{v}$ has a non-zero degree. Denote that homogeneous component by $\mathbf{w}$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{uw} = \mathbf{wu} \\ \Longrightarrow\ & (\mathbf{uw})\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{uw}) \\ \Longrightarrow\ & \mathbf{uw} = \lambda\mathbf{w} \text{ for some } \lambda \in k \text{ (by Proposition 4.1)} \\ \Longrightarrow\ & \mathbf{u} = \lambda \text{ (because } \mathcal{A} \text{ is a domain)} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

2. Apply 1) to all possible degree functions h which vanish on one of the two supports.

3. Follows quickly from 2).

4. By 3), we can write $h(\mathbf{u})/h(\mathbf{v}) = -n/m$ with n, m positive integers. Then $h(\mathbf{u}^m\mathbf{v}^n) = 0$, and, since $\mathbf{u}^m\mathbf{v}^n$ commutes with $\mathbf{u}$, by 1), $\mathbf{u}^m\mathbf{v}^n \in k$. Hence $\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}$ are invertible, and hence must be monomials. □

Proposition 4.3. *If* $\mathbf{u}$ *is homogeneous of non-zero degree, and not a monomial, then its centralizer is isomorphic to* $k[t]$*.*

Proof. After replacing h by $-h$ if necessary, we can assume WLOG that $h(\mathbf{u}) > 0$. Let C be the centralizer of $\mathbf{u}$. Since $\mathbf{u}$ is homogeneous, C is graded with grading given by h: indeed, if $\mathbf{v}$ commutes with $\mathbf{u}$, so does also each homogeneous component of $\mathbf{v}$. Part 4) of Corollary 4.2 implies that C is positively graded. Since F is finitely generated, a projection on $h(F)$ onto $\mathbb{Q}h(\mathbf{u})$ in the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathbb{Q}h(F)$ is a finitely generated abelian subgroup of $\mathbb{Q}h(\mathbf{u})$. Hence, by part 3) of the same corollary, the restriction of h to C is discrete. Let $t \in C$ be a homogeneous element of smallest positive h-degree.

Let $\mathbf{v} \in C$ be homogeneous. If $h(\mathbf{v}) = 0$, by 1) $\mathbf{v} \in k$. Otherwise for some positive integers n, m, $h(\mathbf{v}^n) = h(t^m)$. By Proposition 4.1 that implies that for some $\lambda \in k$, $\mathbf{v}^n = \lambda t^m$. Now C is integrally closed (cf Background 2.3), therefore the equation $\mathbf{v}^n = \lambda t^m$ implies that both $\mathbf{v}$ and are scalar multiples of powers of some element in C, and since t has the smallest positive degree possible, that element can be taken to be t. Thus $\mathbf{v} = \lambda t^m$ and since $\mathbf{v}$ was an arbitrary homogeneous element of C, we conclude that $C = k[t]$. □

5. Centralizer of a general element

Lemma 5.1. *Let* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}$ *and let* C *be the centralizer of* $\mathbf{u}$*. Suppose that for any finitely generated subgroup* $G < F$ *such that* $C \subseteq k[G]$*, the image of* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathbf{u}$ *in* G^{ab}*, the abelianization of* G*, is contained in a cyclic subgroup of* G^{ab}*. Then* $\operatorname{Supp}\mathbf{u}$ *is contained in a cyclic subgroup of* F*.*

PROOF. We can assume $\mathbf{u} \notin k$. It will suffice to construct a descending infinite series of finitely generated groups: $G_0 = F > G_1 > G_2 > \dots$ so that $C \subseteq k[G_i]$ for all i and $\bigcap_i G_i$ is cyclic.

Suppose G_i has been constructed. By the hypothesis, the image of $\operatorname{Supp} \mathbf{u}$ in G_i^{ab} is contained in a cyclic group. Take the maximal such cyclic group and let H be its preimage in F_i. The factor group F_i/H is free abelian of finite rank and thus can be embedded into the additive group of $\mathbb{R}$. By Corollary 4.2, letting h be induced by such an embedding, we have that $C \subseteq k[H]$. Now since H is a free group, by Lemma 2.2, there is a finitely generated subgroup of H, the subgroup which we now designate as G_{i+1}, such that $C \subseteq k[G_{i+1}]$.

It remains to show that $\bigcap_i G_i$ is cyclic. Since it is a subgroup of a free group, it is free, and thus cyclic as long as commutative. In what follows, for any group G, G' denotes the commutator subgroup of G. Since G_{i+1} is contained in the group H above, and the latter is generated by G_i' and one additional element of G_i, elementary calculations with commutators show that

$$G_{i+1}' \subseteq H' \subseteq [G_i', G_i] \subseteq [G_i', F].$$

Now compare our series of commutators $G_0' > G_1' > G_2' > \dots$ with the lower central series $F_0 = F > F_1 > F_2 > \dots$, where $F_{i+1} = [F_i, F]$. We see by induction that $G_i' < F_{i+1}$. Since a free group is residually nilpotent, $\bigcap_i F_i = \langle e \rangle$. Thus $\left(\bigcap_i G_i\right)' \subseteq \bigcap_i G_i' \subseteq \bigcap_i F_i = \langle e \rangle$. □

Proposition 2.3 describes the centralizer of an element whose support is contained in a cyclic subgroup. On the other hand,

THEOREM 5.2. *Suppose that* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{A}$ *and* $\operatorname{Supp} \mathbf{u}$ *is not contained in a cyclic subgroup of* F. *Let* C *be the centralizer of* $\mathbf{u}$. *Then* C *is the affine coordinate ring of the complement of a* k*-point in a proper nonsingular curve over* k.

PROOF. That C is a commutative integrally closed integral domain is discussed in section 2.3.

To establish the remaining assertion, we will show that C admits a discrete valuation v which satisfies condition 1) of Lemma 2.4. By Lemma 5.1, we can, if necessary, replace F by an appropriate finitely generated subgroup and thereafter assume that the image of $\operatorname{Supp} \mathbf{u}$ in F^{ab}, the abelianization of F, generates a lattice of rank at least 2.

Then we claim that it is possible to choose a degree function h on F (cf Background 2.2), even with values in $\mathbb{Q}$, so that the highest degree homogeneous component of $\mathbf{u}$ has positive degree and is not a monomial. Assuming the claim for the time being, we can define the a unique valuation v on the field of fractions of C by requiring that for any $\mathbf{u} \in C$, $v(\mathbf{u})$ is proportional to the negative of the h-degree of the highest homogeneous component of $\mathbf{u}$. The resulting valuation will satisfy the condition 1).

It remains to show the claim. Let P be the bounded polytope which is the convex hull of the image of $\operatorname{Supp} \mathbf{u}$ in the vector space $\mathbb{Q} \otimes F^{ab}$ and let V be the vector space spanned by P. There must be a hyperplane in V which contains a face of P and such that the origin and P are on the same side of the hyperplane. Indeed, to find it, start with any point in the interior of P other than the origin, and move from it outward away from the origin, until you hit a (closed) face of

P. Take the hyperplane that contains that face. Now require that h is a positive constant on the hyperplane. □

References

[1] G. Bergman, *Centralizers in free associative algebras*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 137 (1969), pp. 327-344
[2] P.M Cohn, *Free Ideal Rings and Localization in General Rings*, Cambridge Univ Press (2006)
[3] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic combinatorics on words*, Cambridge University Press (2002)